

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,281	09/12/2003	Michael Rivers JR.	42208.0400	7661
20322 7	590 06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
SNELL & WILMER		JULES, FRANTZ F		
ONE ARIZONA CENTER				
400 EAST VAN BUREN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DITORNII A.Z. 050040001				

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e\$.) .				
	Application No. 51	Applicant(s)			
	10/661,281	RIVERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frantz F. Jules	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rephy y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 A</u> 2a) This action is FINAL : 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7-15 and 18-23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-15 and 18-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App nty documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication Noc			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06082005			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-15, 18, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzgerald (US 6,776,459 B2) in view of Murnin (US 1,500,884). Fitzgerald discloses a wheel spinner assembly mountable to a first wheel face and second wheel face of a wheel of a vehicle, the assembly comprising a first spinner (11) rotatably mountable to said wheel in proximity to said first wheel face, said first spinner including a first spinner central bore through which at least one of a wheel axle shaft and a first side wheel hub is insertable during mounting to said wheel, wherein said first spinner rotates around said at least one said wheel axle shaft and first side wheel hub when said wheel rotates and wherein said first spinner substantially continues to rotate when said wheel ceases rotation, a second spinner (11) rotatably mountable to said wheel in proximity to said second wheel face as disclosed in col 1, lines 45-52, said second spinner including a second spinner central bore through which at least one of said wheel axle shaft and a second side wheel hub is insertable during mounting to said wheel, wherein said second spinner rotates around at least one said wheel axle shaft and second side wheel hub when said wheel rotates and wherein said second spinner

Art Unit: 3617

substantially continues to rotate when said wheel ceases rotation, and a friction reducing means (12) in physical communication with said first spinner central bore, said friction reducing means configured to be fitted circumspect at least one of said wheel axle shaft and first side wheel hub, said friction reducing means supporting said first spinner.

A retaining means (10) is provided in physical communication with said friction reducing means in accordance with claim 2.

A spacer (13) including a bore is provided in communication with the friction reducing means and a first wheel hub (14) in accordance with claim 3.

Fitzgerald teaches all of the features as disclosed above but does not disclose a spinner assembly comprising a spinner through which an axle and a hub is insertable therethrough in addition to a bushing. The general concept of providing a spinner through which an axle and a hub is insertable therethrough in addition to a bushing in a spinner assembly is well known in the art as illustrated by Murnin which discloses the teaching of a spinner through which an axle and a hub is insertable therethrough in addition to a bushing in a wheel spinner assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fitzgerald to include the use of a spinner through which an axle and a hub is insertable therethrough in addition to a bushing in his advantageous spinner assembly as taught by Murnin in order to prevent loosening of the spinner assembly when exposed to high wind loading thereby improving safety.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,281 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

3. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzgerald in view of Fenton (US 3,336,084).

Fitzgerald teaches all the limitations of claims 8 and 19 except for a wheel spinner assembly comprising radially disposed pockets responsive to the friction of passing air for increasing angular momentum. The general concept of providing a wheel spinner assembly comprising radially disposed pockets responsive to the friction of passing air for increasing angular momentum is well known in the art as illustrated by Fenton which disclose the teaching of radially disposed pockets responsive to the friction of passing air for increasing angular momentum in a spinner (63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fitzgerald to include the use of a wheel spinner assembly comprising radially disposed pockets responsive to the friction of passing air for increasing angular momentum in his advantageous wheel spinner assembly as taught by Fenton in order to reduce the weight of the spinner assembly.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 04/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Applicant's amendment of the claims to recite a first and a second spinner assembly mounted to the wheel is fully met by the Fitzgerald reference with the exception with an axle shaft of the wheel extending therethrough.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3617

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 272-6681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

June 8, 2005